

Please amend the paragraph of the specification spanning page 8, lines 7-18 as follows:

Fig. 4 illustrates a comparison of the electrical field provided by the illustrated system with -50 KV supplied to charging electrode 55 but with electrodes 130 maintained at ground potential (in the lower half of Fig. 4), and the illustrated system with -50 KV supplied both to charging electrode 55 and to electrodes 130 (in the upper half of Fig. 4). As can be appreciated by a careful study of this illustration, the -10 KV equipotential lines 140 ~~and the -40 KV equipotential lines 142~~ extend much farther forward from charging electrode 55 ~~both forward~~, that is, toward article 59 to be coated, and both the -10 KV and -40 KV equipotential lines 140, 142, respectively, extend much farther rearward, that is, away from article 59 and toward any supporting structure for turbine 40, powder bell cup 30 and shroud 100. This field configuration is believed to promote transport of more of the electrically charged powder dispensed from powder bell cup 30 toward article 59, and the deposit of less of the electrically charged powder dispensed from powder bell cup 30 on, for example, the rearward portion of shroud 100 and any supporting structure.